



# The US election

## What does the future hold for clean energy?



August 2024



**Robert Lambert**  
Impact-Aligned Bond Fund  
Portfolio Manager

BlueBay Fixed Income Team

**The US election is in full swing and one policy debate that remains particularly charged – no pun intended – is climate and energy.**

Here we discuss:

- How the clean energy agenda could look going forward.
- The impact on fixed income investors under a Trump versus Harris presidency.
- The longer-term challenges and opportunities.

The US stands as one of the largest polluters per capita by CO<sub>2</sub> emissions<sup>1</sup>. It is also home to the world's largest bond market<sup>2</sup>, but has yet to issue – for example – a sovereign green bond.

As such, the outcome of these elections holds the potential to have significant implications for the future of the clean energy agenda and bond markets, at both a US domestic and international level.

**“The impact on fixed income investors under a Trump versus Harris presidency could be quite pronounced, driven by each administration’s economic, fiscal and regulatory policies.”**

<sup>1</sup> [CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions by Country - Worldometer \(worldometers.info\)](https://worldometers.info/).

<sup>2</sup> Bank for International Settlements.

## Policy impact

### A win for the Reds

Donald Trump has been particularly vocal about his pro-fossil fuel views. Should he be voted in, possible implications include:

- **Regulatory rollbacks:** Trump has historically prioritised deregulation, particularly in the energy sector. He might seek to roll back regulations aimed at reducing carbon emissions, such as those related to the Clean Power Plan to fuel efficiency standards for vehicles.
- **Support for fossil fuels:** a second Trump administration would likely continue to support fossil fuel industries, including coal, oil, and natural gas through subsidies, deregulation, and other policies, potentially at the expense of clean energy initiatives.
- **Reduced federal support for clean energy:** under Trump's leadership, federal support for renewable energy projects, such as wind and solar, could decrease. This could involve reducing tax incentives, cutting funding for research and development (R&D), and withdrawing from international climate agreements that promote clean energy.
- **Potential slowing of clean energy transition:** with less federal support and potential regulatory changes, the pace of the clean energy transition in the US could slow. This might also affect private sector investments in renewable energy and related technologies.
- **Impact on climate change policies:** Trump has previously expressed scepticism about climate change and withdrew the US from the Paris Agreement during his presidency. A similar approach in a future term could lead to reduced focus on climate change mitigation efforts, including those related to clean energy.

### A win for the Blues

In contrast, Kamala Harris has consistently supported expanding clean energy, with her administration likely to increase federal investments through subsidies, grants and tax incentives. Other potential outcomes include:

- **Reinstatement and expansion of environmental regulations:** Harris would likely work to reinstate and strengthen regulations that limit carbon emissions and pollution such as fuel efficiency standards and methane regulations.
- **Climate change regulation:** Harris has supported aggressive climate action, including proposals similar to the Green New Deal. Her administration might pursue comprehensive climate legislation to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote clean energy.
- **Rejoining international climate agreements:** Harris would almost certainly ensure that the US remains committed to the Paris Agreement and might push for even more ambitious international climate goals. This would include leveraging US leadership to encourage global clean energy adoption.
- **Equitable clean energy transition:** Harris has emphasised environmental justice, which could lead to policies that ensure the benefits of clean energy transition are shared broadly, particularly in disadvantaged communities. This could include targeted infrastructure and job creation in these areas.
- **Acceleration of clean energy transition:** under Harris, federal policies would likely align with state and local initiatives, further accelerating the clean energy transition. The administration might also work to remove barriers to clean energy adoption and integrate renewable energy into the national grid more effectively.

## Considerations for fixed income investors

The impact on fixed income investors under a Trump versus Harris presidency could be quite pronounced, driven by each administration's economic, fiscal and regulatory policies:

### Trump presidency

Trump's emphasis on deregulation could benefit certain sectors, potentially improving credit conditions for corporate bonds, especially in the energy and financial sectors. However, reduced oversight could also increase the risk of defaults if corporate leverage increases without adequate safeguards.

More broadly, Trump has supported tax cuts and increased federal spending, which could lead to higher budget deficits. This might require the government to issue more debt, potentially pushing up yields on Treasury bonds as supply increases.

If Trump's policies lead to significant economic stimulus, particularly in a fully employed economy, there could be concerns about rising inflation, thus the risk of higher interest rates, which could increase bond yields but reduce the value of existing bonds with lower rates.

In addition, Trump has previously criticised the Federal Reserve for keeping interest rates too high. In a second term, there could be political pressure on the Fed to maintain lower rates to support economic growth, which might help keep yields lower for a time. However, the long-term effect could be uncertainty in the fixed income market.

### Harris presidency

Harris is likely to support significant investment in infrastructure, green energy, and social programs. These could be funded through increased borrowing, which might also push up yields on Treasury bonds if deficits rise.

If these spending programs are inflationary, the Fed might raise interest rates to counteract inflation, leading to higher bond yields. However, if these investments boost productivity, inflationary pressure might be more moderate.

In addition, Harris might push for stronger Environmental, Social & Governance (ESG) regulations, which could influence the corporate bond market. Companies that fail to meet environmental or social standards might face higher borrowing costs, while those that excel in these areas could see lower costs and more investor demand for their bonds.

There might also be a surge in the issuance and demand for green bonds, which are fixed-income instruments designed to fund projects with positive environmental impacts.

### Looking ahead

In sum, both presidencies could lead to higher yields due to increased government borrowing, but the reasons differ – Trump due to tax cuts and deregulation, Harris due to increased public investment. However, Harris' focus on green energy and infrastructure might be seen as more sustainable over the long term, potentially stabilising the market.

**“It's too early to say who the end victor could be, and polls aren't reliable enough to gauge a strong steer.”**

Inflation could be expected to rise under Trump due to stimulus and deregulation, potentially leading to higher rates and more volatile bond markets. Under Harris inflation risks might also rise with spending, but there might be a stronger focus on balancing these with productivity gains.

Regarding corporate bonds, Trump's deregulation could lower costs for some industries but increase risk in the long run. Harris's ESG focus might create new opportunities and risks, depending on how companies adapt to new regulations.

Overall, a Trump presidency might result in a more volatile fixed income environment, with potential spikes in yields and inflation, while a Harris presidency could bring targeted changes, with potential opportunities in ESG and green bonds, but also risks associated with higher public spending.

It's too early to say who the end victor could be, and polls aren't reliable enough to gauge a strong steer. Either way, we would anticipate pockets of volatility to emerge that should offer fixed income investors significant opportunity for alpha generation.



### Portfolio Manager Perspectives

Our experts offer their perspectives on the latest developments in global credit and the state of the markets.

[LEARN MORE](#)

This document was prepared by RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited (RBC GAM UK), authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and a member of the National Futures Association (NFA) as authorised by the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC).

In the United States, this document may also be provided by RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. ("RBC GAM-US"), a SEC registered investment adviser. The entities noted above are collectively referred to as "RBC BlueBay" within this document. The registrations and memberships noted should not be interpreted as an endorsement or approval of RBC BlueBay by the respective licensing or registering authorities.

With respect to the investment performance presented, **past performance is not indicative of future performance**. Actual account performance may or will vary from the performance shown because of differences in market conditions; client-imposed investment restrictions; the time of client investments and withdrawals; tax considerations; economies of scale; portfolio turnover; the number, type, availability, and diversity of securities that can be purchased at a given time; differences in the underlying currency of the assets in the account, and other factors. Client assets managed using these strategies in separate accounts or different vehicles may be subject to restrictions, fees or expenses that are materially different than those found in the non-US funds.

Some of the statements contained in this material may be considered forward-looking statements which provide current expectations or forecasts of future results or events. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and involve risks and uncertainties. Do not place undue reliance on these statements because actual results or events may differ materially from those described in such forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. Before making any investment decisions, we encourage you to consider all relevant factors carefully.

This document is confidential and, without RBC BlueBay's consent, may not be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form by any means or (ii) distributed to any person that is not an employee, officer, director or authorized agent of the recipient.

Information herein is believed to be reliable but RBC BlueBay does not warrant its completeness or accuracy. This document contains information collected from independent third-party sources. For purposes of providing these materials to you, neither RBC BlueBay nor any of its affiliates, subsidiaries, directors, officers, or employees, has independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the third-party information contained herein.

The information contained herein does not constitute investment, tax, accounting or legal advice. Recipients are strongly advised to make an independent review with their own advisors and reach their own conclusions regarding the investment merits and risks, legal, credit, tax and accounting aspects of all transactions. Any risk management processes discussed refer to efforts to monitor and manage risk but should not be confused with and do not imply no or low risk. No chart, graph, or other figure provided should be used to determine which strategies to implement or which securities to buy or sell.

Copyright 2024 © RBC BlueBay. RBC Global Asset Management (RBC GAM) is the asset management division of Royal Bank of Canada which includes RBC Global Asset Management (U.S.) Inc. (RBC GAM-US), RBC Global Asset Management Inc., RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, RBC Global Asset Management (Asia) Limited and RBC Indigo Asset Management Inc., which are separate, but affiliated corporate entities. ® / Registered trademark(s) of Royal Bank of Canada and BlueBay Asset Management (Services) Ltd. Used under licence. RBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, registered office 100 Bishopsgate, London EC2N 4AA, registered in England and Wales number 03647343. All rights reserved.

For Institutional Use Only - Not For Public Distribution.